CODE REVISER USE ONLY

## **PROPOSED RULE MAKING**

## CR-102 (June 2024) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: December 18, 2024 TIME: 2:40 PM

WSR 25-02-007

	ļ						
Supplemental Notice to WSR							
Continuance of WSR							
		of Inquiry was filed as WSR					
Expedited Rule	e Making	Proposed notice was filed as W	/SR; or				
☑ Proposal is exercise	empt unde	er RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.33	0(1); or				
Proposal is exercise							
Standards, WAC 2 adopt by reference	46-282-00 the 2023 his rulema	5. The Department of Health (dep version of the National Shellfish S	artment) is proposing to anitation Program's (NS	of Shellfish, Minimum Performance o amend WAC 246-282-005(1)(a) to SSP) Guide for the Control of Molluscan SSP guide adopted by reference from			
Hearing location(	s):						
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:			
February 5, 2025	2:00 pm	Virtual Hearing Using Zoom					
		Register in advance for this webir https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar					
		register/WN_j0cKdrAOSGi32iZN3RAv8Q					
		After registering, you will receive a confirmation					
		email containing information about	ut joining the webinar.				
Date of intended a	adoption:	February 12, 2025 (Note: Thi	s is NOT the effective	date)			
Submit written co			Assistance for persor	,			
			Contact Kseniya Efremova				
Address PO Box 47824, Olympia WA 98504-7824			Phone (360) 236-3449				
Email shellfishrule	-		Fax N/A				
Fax N/A		<u></u>	TTY 711				
Other https://fortre	ss.wa.gov	/doh/policyreview/	Email <u>kseniya.efremova@doh.wa.gov</u>				
Beginning (date an	d time) Da	ate and time of filing	Other N/A				
By (date and time)	February	5, 2025 by 11:59 pm	By (date) January 22, 2025				
Purpose of the pr	oposal an	nd its anticipated effects, includi	ing any changes in ex	isting rules: The proposed			
				nt health and safety requirements are			
				res all shellfish-producing states to			
		ated 2019 version of the guide.	lace moliuscan sheillish	into interstate commerce. The rule			
Reasons support	ing propo	sal: The FDA oversees a coopera	tive program between t	he shellfish-producing states and the			
shellfish industry fo	or the prod	uction and processing of shellfish	consistent with the NSS	SP guide. The FDA evaluates each			
				. Therefore, an update of WAC 246-			
282-005 is needed so that Washington state remains compliant with the NSSP guide and the molluscan shellfish products							

from Washington can continue to be placed into interstate commerce.



Agency: Department of Health . . . . .

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 69.30.030							
Statute being implemented: RCW 69.30.030							
Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:		□ Yes ⊠ No □ Yes ⊠ No □ Yes ⊠ No					
Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None							
Name of proponent: (person or organization) Washington State Department of Health Type of proponent:   Private.  Public.  Governmental.							
Name of agency personnel responsible for:							
Name	Office Location	Phone					
Drafting Kseniya Efremova	111 Israel Rd SE, Tumwater, WA 98501	(360) 236-3449					
Implementation Dani Toepelt	111 Israel Rd SE, Tumwater, WA 98501	(360) 890-6054					
Enforcement Dani Toepelt	111 Israel Rd SE, Tumwater, WA 98501	(360) 890-6054					
Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under <u>RCW 28A.305.135</u> ? □ Yes ⊠ No If yes, insert statement here:							
Name Address Phone Fax TTY Email Other							
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under <u>RCW 34.05.328</u> ? □ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting: Name Address Phone Fax TTY Email Other ⊠ No: Please explain: The department did not complete a cost benefit analysis under RCW 34.05.328. RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(iii) exempts rules that adopt or incorporate by reference without material change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state law, the rules of other Washington state agencies, or national consensus codes that generally establish industry standards.							
Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement Note: The <u>Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA)</u> provides support in completing this part.							
(1) Identification of exemptions: This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see <u>chapter 19.85 RCW</u> ). For additional information on exemptions, consult the <u>exemption guide published by ORIA</u> . Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):							
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.061</u> because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted. Citation and description:							
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by <u>RCW 34.05.313</u> before filing the notice of this proposed rule.							

□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempladopted by a referendum.	ot under th	e provisions of <u>RCW 15.65.570</u> (2) because it was				
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exemption	ot under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:				
□ <u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(b)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(e)				
(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)				
		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(f)				
(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)				
□ <u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(d)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(g)				
(Correct or clarify language)		(i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process				
		requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)				
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exemp	ot under R					
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exemp						
Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the p						
(2) Scope of exemptions: Check one.						
The rule proposal: Is fully exempt. (Skip section 3.) Exe	•					
□ The rule proposal: Is partially exempt. (Complete section 3.) The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using this template from ORIA):						
The rule proposal: Is not exempt. (Complete section 3.)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete	•					
If any portion of the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it in on businesses?	npose moi	e-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2))				
□ No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs.						
□ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely i economic impact statement is required. Insert the required	•	ore-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business business economic impact statement here:				
The public may obtain a copy of the small business of contacting:	economic	mpact statement or the detailed cost calculations by				
Name						
Address						
Phone						
Fax						
TTY						
Email						
Other						
Date: December 18, 2024	Signatu	ıre:				
Name: Kristin Peterson, JD for Umair A. Shah, MD, MPH	Kist	in filing				
Title: Chief of Policy for Secretary of Health	Man	a martin O				

AMENDATORY SECTION (Amending WSR 21-14-019, filed 6/28/21, effective 7/29/21)

WAC 246-282-005 Minimum performance standards. (1) Any person engaged in a shellfish operation or possessing a commercial quantity of shellfish or any quantity of shellfish for sale for human consumption must comply with and is subject to:

(a) The requirements of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP), Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish (((2019)) 2023) (copies available through the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Shellfish Sanitation Branch, and the Washington state department of health, office of shellfish and water protection);

(b) The provisions of 21 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.), Part 123 - Fish and Fishery Products, adopted December 18, 1995, by the United States Food and Drug Administration, regarding Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) plans (copies available through the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Office of Seafood, and the Washington state department of health, office of food safety and shellfish programs); and

(c) All other provisions of this chapter.

(2) If a requirement of the NSSP Model Ordinance or a provision of 21 C.F.R., Part 123, is inconsistent with a provision otherwise established under this chapter or other state law or rule, then the more stringent provision, as determined by the department, will apply.