## Vaccine Advisory Committee (VAC) Meeting

June 13, 2025

## Chair/Facilitator:

Dr. Tao Sheng Kwan-Gett Washington State Department of Health

REPRESENTING	NAME	PRESENT
Managed Care	Dr. John Dunn	Y
American Indian Health Commission for Washington (AIHC)	Wendy Stevens	Y
Health Care Authority	Christopher Chen filling in for Korrina Dalke	Y
National Association of Pediatric Nurses (NAPNAP), Washington Chapter	Dr. Charisse Gumapas, ARNP, DNP	N
WA Association of Naturopathic Physicians	Dr. Mary Koehnke	Y
WA Acadomy of Family Physicians	Dr. Gretchen LaSalle	Y
WA Academy of Family Physicians	Dr. John Merrill-Steskal	Y
WA Chapter of the	Dr. Francis Bell	Y
American Academy of Pediatrics	Dr. Seema Abbasi	Y
	Juan Gutierrez Jr	Y
WA State Association of Local Public Health Officials	Meghan Lelonek	Y
WA State Association of Local Public Health Officials	Jay Miller	Y
	Dr. Mark Larson	Y
Public Health Seattle King County	Libby Page	Y
Internal Medicine	Dr. Mary Anderson	Y
WA State Pharmacy Association	Dr. Jenny Arnold, PharmD	Y
Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction	Annie Hetzel	Y
Childcare Representation	Lauren Greenfield, BS, BSN, RN	Y
Seattle Indian Health Board (appointed by Urban Indian Health Institute)	Dr. Maithri Sarangam	Y
Northwest Tribal Epidemiology Center / Lummi Nation	Tam Lutz	Y
American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists	Dr. Alisa Kachikis	Y

Student Representative	Magali Sanchez	Y
School Nurse	Sarah Kim	Y
Consultants	Dr. Ed Marcuse	Y
Consultants	Dr. Beth Harvey	Ν

## Washington State Department of Health Staff:

Jamilia Sherls-Jones	Lisa Balleaux	Kelley Meder	Cheryl Ann Barnes
Poornima Jayaraman	Mary Huynh	Adriann Jones	Marissa Davison
Trang Kuss	Jessica Haag	Chas Debolt	Jeaux Rinedahl
Meredith Cook	Teri Maitri	April Mcclellan	Sherry Carlson
Janel Jorgenson			

Торіс	Presented Information
Welcome, Announcements,	Tao Kwan-Gett welcomed the committee members and notified them that packets are available for them.
Introductions, Land	Review of purpose of Vaccine Advisory Committee
Acknowledgement	
Tao Kwan-Gett	The Vaccine Advisory Committee (VAC) provides recommendations to DOH on issues related to the use of vaccines and other medications for the public health response to infectious diseases, and for current management of vaccine-preventable diseases across a person's lifespan.
	This committee shall provide guidance and serve as an advisory body to the Department of Health, Health Officer.
	Tao Kwan-Gett did an overview of the agenda and housekeeping.
	Tao Kwan-Gett provided a land acknowledgment and recognition.
	Tao Kwan-Get introduced new members: Local Public Health Officials: WSALPHO appointed temp members for this meeting, and afterwards will work on filling perm.
Roll Call for VAC member introductions	Meghan Cichy did a role call:
Conflict of Interest & Approval of Previous	Meghan Cichy read the committee's Conflict of Interest Policy.
Meeting Minutes	No conflicts of interest were declared.
Meghan Cichy	
Update on COVID-	This table is provided outlining medical conditions the CDC has designated as increasing the risk of
19 Landscape and	severe COVID-19.
Proposed Vaccine	
Recommendations	For those who are concerned that they may not have access to COVID-19 Vaccines, we feel there may be
Dr. Sherls	opportunities when they state a history of physical inactivity, disability (which in WA state, there's a large list of qualifying disabilities)
	*Screengrabs are enlarged sections/images found on page 2.



FDA Commissioner Martin A. Makary, M.D., M.P.H., and the FDA's Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (<u>CBER</u>) Director, <u>Vinayak "Vinay" Prasad M.D., M.P.H.</u>, published <u>An Evidence-Based Approach to</u> <u>Covid-19 Vaccination</u> in the New England Journal of Medicine, May 20, 2025.





Secretary Kennedy 🕸 💰 @SecKennedy

ø ...

Today, the COVID vaccine for healthy children and healthy pregnant women has been removed from @CDCgov recommended immunization schedule. Bottom line: it's common sense and it's good science. We are now one step closer to realizing @POTUS's promise to Make America Healthy Again.



### CDC Updates to COVID-19 Vaccine Schedules

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) posted updated versions of the <u>immunization</u> <u>schedules</u>.

Summary of the COVID-19 vaccine recommendation changes on the CDC immunization schedules:

- The <u>Child and Adolescent Immunization Schedule</u> now reflects shared clinical decision making for all children and adolescents aged 6 months to 18 years, including those who are moderately or severely immunocompromised.
  - <u>Vaccines For Children</u> (VFC)-eligible children can be vaccinated after a shared clinical decision with their healthcare provider.
  - More information about the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices' (ACIP) shared clinical decision-making recommendations, guidance, and implementation considerations can be found online <u>here</u>.
  - The notes section has been updated accordingly. We encourage you to review the notes carefully.
- No changes were made to the recommendations for persons who are aged 18 years and older and not pregnant.

For the <u>Child and Adolescent schedule</u> and the <u>Adult schedule</u>, pregnancy is now shaded gray to reflect no guidance/recommendation. (*Images on the next 2 slides*)

Adult Immunization Schedule by Medical Condition and Other Indication | Vaccines & Immunizations | CDC Ages 19 Years or Older

Recommended vaccination for adults who meet age requirement, lack documentation of vaccination, or lack evidence of immunity			of adu	adults with an additional risk factor				nended va n shared -making	No Guidance/Not Applicable		
Vaccine	Pregnancy	Immuno- compromised (excluding HIV infection)		e and count ≥15% and ≥200/mm <sup>3</sup>	Men who have sex with men	Asplenia, complement deficiency	Heart or lung disease	Kidney failure, End- stage renal disease or on dialysis	Chronic liver disease; alcoholism <sup>a</sup>	Diabetes	Health care Personnel <sup>b</sup>
		See N	otes								

<u>Child and Adolescent Immunization Schedule by Medical Indication | Vaccines & Immunizations | CDC</u> Recommendations for Ages 18 Years or Younger

Medical Ir	ndicatio	on											
Legend	Not recomm				Recomme all age-el		Precaution	n:					
Recommended for all age-eligible children who lack documentation of a complete vaccination series	for all childre recommende some childre based on inc risk for or se outcomes fro disease	ed for n reased vere	Recomme vaccinatio based on clinical de making	on shared ecision-	children, additiona may be n based on condition indication Notes.	and l doses ecessary medical or other	Might be indicated benefit of protection outweigh: of adverse reaction	if 1 s risk	not reco		No Guidar Applic	nce/Not able	
Always use this tab multiple conditions a											xclusive	e. If	
			ompromised		ection CD4 re and count <sup>a</sup>	CSF leak	Asplenia or persistent complement		disease or	Kidney failure, End- stage renal	Chronic		
Vaccine and other immunizing agents	Pregnancy	status (e:	cluding HIV ction)	<15% or <200/mm <sup>3</sup>	≥15% and ≥200/mm <sup>3</sup>	or cochlear implant	component deficiencies	chron	nic lung lease	disease or on dialysis	Liver disease	Diabetes	

#### The Problem

- This HHS directive bypassed the existing vaccine approval and recommendation process in which expert committees publicly review and deliberate vaccine data.
- By transitioning away from the historically open scientific review process for vaccine policy development, HHS has introduced uncertainty and confusion into COVID-19 vaccine policy.





#### Joint Governor Statement

Jun 12, 2025

California, Oregon & Washington condemn dismissal of CDC vaccine panel, call on other states to join them

#### **DOH Next Steps**

- 1) DOH is continuing to monitor the evolving situation regarding COVID-19 vaccine recommendations.
- 2) Continue working with the Office of the Insurance Commissioner to assess options for health carrier coverage of COVID-19 vaccines.
- 3) Consider participating in the <u>Western States Safety Review Workgroup</u> if it is reconvened.
- Closely follow and coordinate work with national bodies, such as the <u>Association of State and</u> <u>Territorial Health Officials</u>, the <u>Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists</u>, and the <u>Vaccine</u> <u>Integrity Project</u> (VIP).

## Vaccine Integrity Project

#### **Center for Infectious Disease Research and Policy**

- CIDRAP's Vaccine Integrity Project is an initiative dedicated to safeguarding vaccine use in the U.S. so that it remains grounded in the best available science, free from external influence, and focused on optimizing protection of individuals, families, and communities against vaccinepreventable diseases.
- The best and most durable public health decisions, including about vaccine use, are made in plain sight, in consultation with independent medical professionals, and are backed by rigorous review of current data. The events of the past few weeks raise serious doubts about whether we can count on the US government to follow that common-sense blueprint.
- The Vaccine Integrity Project, together with our medical, public health, and community partners, is preparing to fill that void.



## **VIP** continued Over the past 3 weeks, the Vaccine Integrity Project convened more than 80 participants for a series of facilitated and one-on-one discussions, bringing together people from academia, pharmacies, medical professional associations, healthcare systems, health insurers, public health organizations, and national security and biodefense. The Vaccine Integrity Project's Steering Committee will meet this month to discuss the findings from the facilitated discussions and make recommendations regarding any additional activities that can help safeguard vaccine policy, programs, information, and use in the United States. **Steering Committee** The Vaccine Integrity Project has established a Steering Committee comprising 8 leading public health and policy experts. The members are all voluntary, unpaid contributors to the Vaccine Integrity Project. Mark Feinberg, MD, PhD Harvey V. Fineberg, MD. PhD Jeff Duchin, MD Peggy Hamburg, MD Asa Hutchinson Michael T. Osterholm, PhD, MPH Fred Upton Anne Zink, MD Jamilia: We don't know how people are eventually going to have to prove that they are at risk, if it requires a doctor note. If people do not live close to a doctor, it could be a disparity. Scott: State Epi's met at annual conference. They spoke of reopening the Western State Scientific Review group. Mike set up Vaccine integrity project, a national advisory group of science. This group will be a national resource giving us the scientific responses to counteract false science. Many State's Epi's are not free to discuss these things openly. **Proposed COVID-19 ACIP Meeting June Date** Vaccine Recommendations HHS announced on 6/9/25, the removal of the 17 sitting ACIP members and will replace them with new members currently under consideration. The next ACIP meeting is scheduled for June 25-27, 2025 **Dr. Sherls** • ACIP Meeting Information | ACIP | CDC

Immunization Practice		
	Q SEARCH	
		1
rmation		
ch year to review scientific data and vote on	vaccine recommendations. Additional	
ebcəst.		
ng and past ACIP meetings.		
	ON THIS PAGE	
7	Upcoming meetings Public comment	
2-23	Past meetings	
nunocompromise licy for everyone ages ≥6 month nendations and only maintain th ss who we determine should be		
	8	
2025-2026 COVID-19	vaccines	
6 COVID-19 vaccine ses	S:	
nmended for everyone ag	es ≥6	
oolicy for everyone ages ≥6 mo	nths	
only for groups at increased ris	k of severe	
d universal vaccine recomment	dations	
1		

## Policy Options for 2025–2026 COVID-19 vaccines: Semi-annual COVID-19 vaccine doses

- Persons ages ≥65 years
   2 doses per year for most; may be more if previously unvaccinated and receiving Novavax or immunocompromised
- Persons ages ≥6 months who are moderately or severely immunocompromised
  - Initial series if unvaccinated or post-immune ablative therapy
  - Initial series is followed by 2 doses per year
  - Additional doses can be administered under shared clinical decision-making

#### **Other States Response**

DOH is monitoring other states response to the recent recommendation and will take these responses into consideration as DOH moves forward.

10

Wisconsin Department of Health Services Continues to Recommend Current COVID-19 Vaccine to Protect Against Severe Illness | Wisconsin Department of Health Services

# Wisconsin Department of Health Services Continues to Recommend Current COVID-19 Vaccine to Protect Against Severe Illness

The Wisconsin Department of Health Services continues to recommend the current COVID-19 vaccine during pregnancy and for every person 6 months and older to protect from serious COVID-19 illness and to prevent spreading it to others. The current COVID-19 vaccine is safe during pregnancy, and vaccination can protect women and their infants after birth. Newborns depend on maternal antibodies from the vaccine for protection.

Wisconsin Medicaid will also continue to cover the current COVID-19 vaccine for eligible Medicaid members, including children and individuals who are pregnant.

"The current COVID-19 vaccine was thoroughly reviewed for safety and effectiveness and continues to be an important tool in preventing severe illness and death," said Department of Health Services Secretary Kirsten Johnson.

The current COVID-19 vaccine was approved following rigorous testing and safety review processes, including clinical trials and review by medical experts. The vaccine received medical and safety review and authorization from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), and the CDC director. The recent changes in CDC guidance were not made based on new data, evidence, or scientific or medical studies, nor was the guidance issued following normal processes. Following national approval, the Wisconsin Department of Health Services independently reviews FDA and CDC recommendations to provide clinical guidance to Wisconsin providers and the public.

COVID-19 continues to cause illness, hospitalization, and death. DHS encourages everyone to stay up to date on all recommended vaccinations to protect themselves and their loved ones from vaccine preventable diseases. Wisconsinites can work with their health care provider to determine which vaccines are needed or find a vaccine provider at <u>Vaccines.gov</u> <sup>[2]</sup>.

The Wisconsin Department of Health Services continues to monitor federal updates for COVID-19 vaccine recommendations and how changes could impact the health and safety of Wisconsinites. We will share any updates to Wisconsin COVID-19 vaccine recommendations with the public and our partners.

Learn more about <u>COVID-19 in Wisconsin</u> on the DHS website.





	<ol> <li>How can DOH and VAC approach future federal changes to vaccine recommendations in the absence of changes in the evidence?</li> <li>How should DOH approach regional vs. national coordination through VIP or other organizations?</li> </ol>
	Discussion
	Q: Does the WA recommendation line up with the Western States Group, The Vaccine Integrity Project, and Wisconsin?
	A: The Western State Group did not yet convene, we impute if we should recommend similar to Wisconsin
	AK: Wisconsin is super clear.
	MK – What are we deciding? Is it that we should come forward with a similar statement as Wisconsin?
	JS – Yes, we want recommendation on COVID 19.
	Q: If we put out this recommendation – would this put us at risk for funding?
	JS – We spoke of it internally, we don't know yet, but it is a possibility.
	JMS – This might be a topic applicable to other vaccines as well. We need to consider our approach.
	JS – Concerned that this could set precedence for other vaccines as well.
	TKG – If we have time, discuss possible approaches moving forward if this same issue comes up with other vaccines as well.
	JMS – Gratified how we are moving forward. The science is really complex, and we want a source of truth, like the Vaccine Integrity group. The critical thing now is to make a clear set of recommendations. There are a lot of questions out there.
	JM – Align with national societies, like the Western State approach. Do we have sense is there will be other guidance for other populations? Are there plans to issue something similar to the maternity recommendations?
	MA – ACP put out statement on 28 <sup>th</sup> individually and then signed onto the recommendation. As far as she knows, ACP will not change recommendations to COVID or other vaccines.
	TKG – Board meeting, chief medical director of AVASTO – It might be the professional organizations that are in a better position to provide the science vaccine voice (united).
	CC – If there is science, how long will it take national recommendation to come about? Thinking ahead, would these recommendations stand until a national one comes out? They could review language.
	TKG, Will reach out after this meeting to professional organizations for a timeline.
	JMS – ACIP allowed to be organized under one recommendation. It used to be that medical agencies oversaw recommendations. Feels it might go back to before. In the interim, supports WA saying we are not making changes. Thinks we are in transition state.
	JM – This approach may have an expiration date – agrees in short term. Don't think it will work two or three years running. We need to come up with some sort of pivot.
	TKG – Yes, that is our hope, to get feedback for the short term. And think about what we should do for the long term. It sounds like the preference is to get behind a single national voice backed by science.
L	1

MK – It is important that we make a statement standing by the science. Clinicians in a malpractice situation might be hesitant, would have grounding if the ACIP is saying something different? Wants to think of this because physicians might be hesitant. ML – Feels we need to make a strong statement as to where we stand as a state. As we wait for a decision, it will become more and more confusing. EM – Thinks we are all in favor of science-based policies but is over simplistic. It is a shared recommendation or decision making. We lost so much by losing ACIP. There are ACIP slides from earlier meetings on COVID – look at the evidence basis of those slides that was showed to give recommendations. Doesn't know if we will have those kinds of recommendations now. We need a national standard. JA – Agrees. JA Is member of VAX Northwest, and they met this week. Pharmacists need guidance they can point to. State guidance that allows for shared decision-making would be very helpful. The biggest risk is losing people at highest risk regardless of affiliation. Wonders if people not agreeing might be more open to what we are saying if we form a more nuanced approach and meet people where they are. TKG – Spoke regarding the state recommendation and how we will frame it. Highest risk group, and framed so all political parties can understand. CC – Is ok with the risk of making a statement. Bolder actions are being called for now. WS – Each tribal gov will have a different way of thinking, voting is premature for her. Science doesn't always represent tribal processes. ACIP was positive for centralized guidance. It is not a new thing in Indian country for decentralized decision-making, shared info, and collaborative work. COVID brought them a lot of learning. Elders and aged might be different - different risks, different issues. They stand with the state and the people who are making decision, are learning. TKG -The science of vaccines (which the tribes embrace) and the values that the policies make. Tribe values a different recommendation for them than national. Dr. John Merrill-Steskal made motion summarizing previous COVID 19. Dr. Mary Anderson seconded the motion. **Recommendation passes.** No Negative and no abstentions. TKG – need to find a timeline for vaccine integrity project. For establishing voice for National Vaccine policy. Thoughts on how to frame. MA – notes her comment in chat. No doubt they are coordinating: [14:30:30 From MA to Everyone: ACP is a memmber of the Council of Medical Specialty Societies (more than 50 specialty societies are members). That group regularly talks about these issues and often develops policies/recommendations together. I have not doubt that this is being discussed by that group now.] GWL – referenced note in chat. Communicating clearly about how we recognize what good science is and communicate that. How is our science different than their science. What are we looking for. [14:37:01 From GWL, MD to Everyone: And we need to emphasize that "the science" is that which is transparent, unbiased, and agreed upon by the majority of scientists, physicians, public health officials, etc. Kennedy and others will claim they are following the science as well.] JD: expects recommendations for shared decision making to come from the new ACIP. One standard thing that happens if materials are put out to help them present appropriate information to present to patients so they can make informed decision. JD expects the materials coming out from ACIP to be skewed. DOH should be prepared to produce materials that are bonified discission making materials. JM – recommends not mentioned ACIP in this statement.

	MK – Is concerned about rhetoric. It's confusing for the public. In laymen terms, what is good science . What does and doesn't it mean.
	TKG – plain language to explain this to garner trust instead of being intimidated by science.
Future Agenda Items	XI. Future Agenda Items
2025 Vac Meeting	
Dates	Upcoming 2025 meetings
Adjourn	July 10 <sup>th</sup> , October 9 <sup>th</sup> 2025
Tao Kwan-Gett	