

JUNE UPDATE

Statewide High-Level Analysis of Forecasted Behavioral Health Impacts from COVID-19

Purpose

This document provides a brief overview of the potential statewide behavioral health impacts from the COVID-19 pandemic. The intent of this document is to communicate potential behavioral health impacts to response planners and organizations or individuals who are responding to or helping to mitigate the behavioral health impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Bottom Line Up Front

- The COVID-19 pandemic strongly influences behavioral health symptoms and behaviors across the state due to far-reaching medical, economic, social, and political consequences. This forecast is heavily informed by disaster research and response and the latest data and findings specific to this pandemic. Updates will be made monthly to reflect changes in baseline data.
- Behavioral and emotional responses to the state's reopening plans are likely to be mixed and vary widely, from excitement and enthusiasm to anxiety, fear, and ambivalence.
 - Dynamics associated with full reopening plans may include changes to masking guidelines and issues of trust related to the vaccine status of others, all of which may cause significant anxiety for many.
- The length of this pandemic may also play a role in people's level of willingness to pick up where they left off or establish completely new norms for themselves and their families related to work-life dynamics, social interactions, and recreational choices.
- Return-to-workplace transitions for many employees may also cause some unexpected behavioral health responses. Some employees may be fearful about expectations, uncertain about in-office policies and procedures related to safety and health, frustrated by the return to commuting, or confused by their mixed emotions about the pandemic ending and the opportunities and challenges associated with returning to in-person work.
- The speed and process of recovering from the pandemic varies significantly among communities (Figure 1), and behavioral health symptoms are also beginning to vary widely among



DOH 821-103-15 June 2021

To request this document in another format, call 1-800-525-0127. Deaf or hard of hearing customers, please call 711 (Washington Relay) or email civil.rights@doh.wa.gov.

groups within our population. Those who have experienced significant primary and secondary effects of the pandemic are likely to progress more slowly into reconstruction and recovery than others and experience more severe behavioral health symptoms (Figure 2). This path is represented by the *disaster cascade pathway* (dotted line) in Figure 1.

- Risk factors that predict a longer recovery cycle (along the disaster cascade pathway) with more severe behavioral health symptoms are also higher for individuals who identify as being part of marginalized social or ethnic groups, families and communities of lower socioeconomic status, and children and youth.^{1,2,3,4,5}
- **The risk of suicide, depression, hopelessness, and substance use will continue to remain high particularly for populations experiencing effects related to a disaster cascade.**^{6,7,8,9}
- **Children, youth, and young adults are a demographic group at significant risk for challenging behavioral health outcomes and experiences, including risk-taking behaviors, throughout the summer months of 2021.**¹⁰ The effects of isolation, combined with shifting educational and social opportunities and experiences, have contributed to behavioral health challenges for many individuals ages 6 – 25.¹⁰ See the [June Youth Behavioral Health Impact Situation Report](#)^a for more information on behavioral health impacts to youth in Washington.

Phase-Related Behavioral Health Considerations

Behavioral health symptoms will continue to present in phases.^{11,12} The unique characteristics of this pandemic trend towards anxiety and depression as a significant behavioral health outcome for many in Washington. These outcomes have been shown throughout the Behavioral Health Impact Situation Reports published by DOH, which are available on the [Behavioral Health Resources & Recommendations webpage](#)^b under the “Situation reports” dropdown. Behavioral health symptoms of anxiety, impulsivity, reduced frustration tolerance, anger, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are likely to increase with any significant increases in infection and hospitalization rates or reopening plan changes.^{13,14}

^a <https://www.doh.wa.gov/Portals/1/Documents/1600/coronavirus/821-135-YouthBehavioralHealthSitRep-June2021.pdf>

^b <https://www.doh.wa.gov/Emergencies/COVID19/HealthcareProviders/BehavioralHealthResources>

Reactions and Behavioral Health Symptoms in Disasters

Emotional Response – Lows to Highs




Figure 1: Phases of reactions and behavioral health symptoms in disasters. The dotted graph line represents the response and recovery pattern that may occur if the full force of a disaster cascade is experienced by a majority of the population (i.e., the disaster cascade pathway). Protective factors are characteristics, conditions, or behaviors that reduce the effects of stressful life events. They also increase a person’s ability to avoid risks or hazards, recover, and grow stronger. Adapted from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA).¹⁵

Phase Divergence within Washington

As we progress into the *reconstruction phase* of the typical disaster recovery cycle, communities, families, and individuals in Washington will diverge more distinctly from each other in terms of behavioral health experiences. Factors, such as economic security, social marginalization, and race and ethnicity continue to play a role in the experience of both physical and behavioral health risks and symptoms throughout the pandemic.^{6,7,8,9} Disparities throughout the last year will tend to be magnified and worsened in the next several months as we move further through the recovery cycle.

Those who have had more economic, social, educational, and occupational opportunities in the first quarter of 2021 will tend to climb more rapidly into the *reconstruction phase* and recovery, while those who have experienced more direct primary and secondary impacts from the pandemic (e.g., illness, hospitalization, job loss, eviction) (Figure 2) will likely endure a repetition of the recovery cycle as is consistent with the disaster cascade pathway (Figure 1).




Figure 2: Disaster and Trauma Cascade Potential. The figure displays the range of factors (ACEs,^c primary COVID-19 impacts, and secondary COVID-19 impacts) which may alter the *reconstruction phase* and recovery for individuals based on their experiences.

Typical Disaster Response and Recovery Pathway Considerations

Workplace Changes

As many employers move forward with return-to-workplace plans, hybrid models, and the development of new COVID-19-related policies and expectations, many employees across the state could experience strong emotional responses that range from excitement and happiness to anxiety and fear. It may be beneficial for supervisors, managers, and leaders within organizations and businesses to address these transitions and the associated reactions about workplace unknowns and the new normal as directly and transparently as possible. When possible, safety protocol information should be clearly provided, and well-defined expectations about working hours, shifts, and locations should be set at all levels in order to alleviate anxiety and reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation. Within teams, it may be helpful for managers and supervisors to recognize that team members may have very different experiences and reactions about returning to the workplace. *Active listening*, a form of healthy communication that can support team building, is recommended as an intervention that can help address workplace issues that may arise during these transitions.

It may be common for employees to experience *cognitive dissonance* during this time, which is an experience where people struggle to navigate their own inconsistent beliefs and attitudes about things like workplace relationships, as well as rules, policies, and expectations. For example, some employees may have colleagues whom they like and get along very well with but who have different views and opinions about the end of the pandemic or return to workplace. Sometimes the discrepancies between liking other people and disagreeing with their opinions on sensitive topics may contribute to more emotional exhaustion in the context of professional engagement. Managing strong *cognitive dissonance* is likely to be an important

^c Adverse childhood experience (ACE): A traumatic experience in a person's life occurring before the age of 18 that the person remembers as an adult.

consideration for supervisors and managers in the return-to-workplace transition over the summer months.

In addition, there may be ongoing questions and concerns about managing childcare, distance learning requirements, and work-life balance. For women in the workforce, these issues are likely to be particularly problematic. There are additional burdens related to caregiving, less secure employment, and the wage gap that may create more stresses for women compared with their male counterparts.^{16,17,18,19} For more information, please see the later sections on social marginalization (page 5) and socioeconomic status (page 6).

Social and Recreational Factors, Risk Taking

As plans move toward a full reopening of the state by June 30, 2021, there will be additional opportunities for social and recreational activities that were previously unavailable due to pandemic-associated safety restrictions. The need for patience and diligence remains high as the weather improves and pandemic apathy continues to wear on everyone. One area of attention in the spring and summer months of 2021 is the potential likelihood of a *rebound effect* from the pandemic that may include people acting “out” in ways that are consistent with highly expressive, thrill-seeking, or pleasure-oriented behavior (e.g., partying, substance use, risk taking, aggressive or illegal behavior) as a response to the perception that things are returning to the way they were before the pandemic.

The likelihood of significant and dangerous risk-taking behavior increases as more opportunities for social connection with peers and a sense of freedom from pandemic-related restrictions begins to emerge, **particularly for youth and young adults.**^{20,21} In addition to the ways in which the neurological consequences of general pandemic apathy may influence decision making, as the weather changes and temperatures increase, generally risky behavior and the likelihood of aggression and violence also increase.^{20,22,23}

Disaster Cascade Pathway Considerations

Increases in Stigma

As the recovery process diverges among groups, we are likely to see an increase in stigma toward members of our communities who are experiencing ongoing or worsening behavioral health symptoms over the summer months. Under conditions where a large number of the population is feeling better, social norms may shift to reflect that it appears to be less acceptable to struggle with behavioral health-related concerns. As the pandemic continues – even with long-term recovery in sight – it is important for everyone to try maintaining and reinforcing their sense of empathy for the experiences of others. In any group, there is likely to be a highly varied experience of behavioral health needs and concerns. Keeping this in mind while practicing and encouraging active listening to understand more fully the perspectives of others will be helpful for all social and workplace environments.

Social Marginalization, Racism, and Discrimination

There are a number of groups and communities that have experienced significant social marginalization throughout the pandemic. Risks related to underlying social or systemic factors are exacerbated by historical trauma and anxiety related to discrimination and prejudice.²⁴ These risks can result in higher levels of PTSD, as well as a variety of other mental health concerns, including substance use issues. There has been a significant increase in crimes against members of Asian communities related to COVID-19 as a result of misconceptions and misinformation about the source of the virus that causes COVID-19 and the tendency to seek a source of blame for the pandemic.^{25,26,27} There have been reports of hate crimes directly

associated with racism, such as harassment and discrimination, with Asian Americans being shunned, verbally abused, coughed and spat on, and physically assaulted. These experiences have significant negative impacts to behavioral health, as social isolation and perceived separation from the community escalates.¹⁶

The uneven division of labor in the household also significantly burdens women. Women are doing more unpaid labor in the home than men, such as educating children at home due to school closures, caring for ill family members, and daily household chores.^{28,29,30,31} Additionally, closures of schools and daycare centers significantly increased child care needs, which has a particularly large impact on working mothers.³⁰ In the United States, among all married couples with children, husbands provide 7.4 hours of child care per week on average, versus the 13.3 hours for wives. Single mothers make up the majority of single-parent households, accounting for just under 70 percent of all single-parent households.²⁹ In the United States, that amounts to 15 million single mothers. Combined with the economic disadvantages of identifying as female as outlined above, women who are single parents experience even larger financial impacts related to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Individuals in the LGBTQ+ community are also at increased risk of behavioral health, medical, and economic impacts due to COVID-19.^{28,32} LGBTQ+ youth are particularly vulnerable to negative mental health impacts due to COVID-19.³³ Prior to COVID-19, LGBTQ+ youth were disproportionately impacted by housing instability – a trend that is likely to significantly worsen with the pandemic.³³ LGBTQ+ youth were at significantly increased risk for depression, anxiety, substance use, and suicidality before COVID-19.³⁰ These risks are highest among youth who identify as transgender, non-binary, or both.²⁹ Safe, supportive social connections are essential protective factors against depression and suicide among LGBTQ+ youth.^{33,34}

Physical distancing measures may have an additional negative impact on LGBTQ+ youth as only 30% report living in a home where they are accepted by their parents.¹ LGB young adults who experience high levels of parental rejection are eight times more likely to die by suicide and are six times more likely to experience severe depression.² LGBTQ+ youth are at extremely high risk for sexual, physical, and psychological abuse.^{30,33} Intimate partner violence is also prevalent in the LGBTQ+ community, even in youth.³⁵ Social isolation is a difficult aspect of the COVID-19 pandemic among older adults and is particularly challenging for members of the aging LGBTQ+ population who also deal with various forms of systemic discrimination, including those in employment, medical care, and housing.³⁰

Socioeconomic Status

Disasters may affect all people, but those of lower socioeconomic status are usually much more negatively impacted than other groups.³⁶ For example, individuals and families in lower socioeconomic groups are 52% more likely to lose their job or experience a significant reduction in their income than individuals in middle or upper socioeconomic groups.³⁷

In general, women tend to have less stable employment than their male counterparts.²⁸ This means there may be an increased economic impact of COVID-19 for women who are earning less, saving less, and have less secure employment than men.^{28,29} Unemployment rates related to physical distancing measures have had a large impact on sectors with high female employment, such as restaurants and hospitality.³⁸ Additionally, though women make up a majority of the healthcare workforce (67%),^{25,39} the overall pay gap between men and women in the field is 28%.³⁰

Individuals in the LGBTQ+ community are more likely to work jobs with high rates of exposure to illness (e.g., essential jobs), as well as higher risk of economic impact (i.e., sudden loss of

income or layoffs).⁴⁰ Approximately 30% of individuals in the LGBTQ+ community, compared to 22% of the general population, have experienced a sudden loss of income.² Individuals in the LGBTQ+ community are more likely to live in poverty than their cisgender counterparts.³⁰ Already, 20% of LGBTQ+ individuals describe their personal finances as “much worse off” than they were a year ago, compared to 11% of the general population. LGBTQ+ individuals are less likely to have access to health insurance.³⁰ Prior to the pandemic, 17% of LGBTQ+ adults had no access to health insurance, compared to 12% of non-LGBTQ+ adults.³⁰ When broken down more specifically, 23% of LGBTQ+ adults of color, 22% of transgender adults, and 32% of transgender adults of color have no form of health insurance coverage.

The following concerns related to socioeconomic status directly or indirectly influence behavioral health symptoms throughout the COVID-19 pandemic:

- Those without a four-year college degree (46%) are more likely than their counterparts with a bachelor’s degree (37%) to lose their job or experience a reduction in income.³⁷
- When looking at the impact of ethnicity on loss of income, 61% of Hispanic adults report that someone in their household lost a job or experienced a pay cut due to the COVID-19 pandemic, compared with 44% of Black adults and 38% of white adults.³⁷
- Overall, more than 26% of individuals have lost their job due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Also, 21% have had their hours reduced, 13% have experienced a pay cut, and 7% have been furloughed.⁴¹ Approximately 65% of these individuals are from low-income households earning less than \$40,000 annually.
- Almost 30% of adults are struggling to pay monthly bills or afford household expenses, such as food or health insurance, due to COVID-19.⁴¹ This increases to 40% among 18 – 29-year-olds, those with annual household incomes of \$40,000 or less, and Hispanic adults. Additionally, Black adults are disproportionately struggling to pay monthly bills or afford household expenses, with 56% of Black adults reporting these impacts.
- Individuals in lower socioeconomic groups are at higher risk for having their mental health negatively impacted due to COVID-19.⁴² For example, 26% of individuals with an annual income of less than \$40,000 report experiencing a significant negative mental health impact, compared to 17% of those with an annual income between \$40,000 and \$89,000 and 14% of those with an annual income of \$90,000 or more.
- Individuals in lower socioeconomic groups are also at a higher risk for developing PTSD after a disaster.^{43,44}
- According to a U.S. Census Bureau survey taken by Washington adults, 53% of respondents who live in households earning less than \$25,000 per year, 32% of respondents living in households earning \$25,000 – \$35,000 per year, and 37% of respondents living in households earning \$35,000 – \$50,000 per year reported experiencing frequent symptoms of anxiety.⁷ For depression, respondents in households earning less than \$25,000 per year reported the highest rate of symptoms (37%), followed by those in households earning \$35,000 – \$50,000 per year (27%).

Unemployment

Suicide and drug overdose death rates are both highly influenced by unemployment.^{45,46,47,67} For every 1% increase in the unemployment rate, there is a corresponding 1.6% increase in the suicide rate⁴⁶ and an increase of one drug overdose death per 300,000 people.⁴⁵ In Washington, approximately 1,231 people die from suicide annually, and 1,173 people die from drug overdose annually.⁴⁸

On a national level, a recent study from the National Bureau of Economic Research reported, “the size of the COVID-19-related unemployment to be between 2 and 5 times larger than the typical unemployment shock, depending on race [and] gender, resulting in a 3.0% increase in mortality rate and a 0.5% drop in life expectancy over the next 15 years for the overall American population. We also predict that the shock will disproportionately affect African Americans and women [in the short term] while white men might suffer large consequences [in the long term]. These figures translate in a staggering 0.89 million additional deaths [nationally] over the next 15 years.”⁴⁹

The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) regularly reports unemployment data, which is based on labor market activity, working conditions, and price changes in the U.S. economy. BLS measured the national unemployment rate to be 6.1% in April 2021. After a significant amount of research, the Ludwig Institute for Shared Economic Prosperity (LISEP) began using a new measure to calculate what is called the True Rate of Unemployment (TRU).^{50,51} This rate is defined as the percentage of the U.S. labor force that is *functionally unemployed*.⁵² TRU uses data from BLS and also tracks the percentage of the U.S. labor force that does not have a full-time job (35+ hours a week) but wants one, has no job, or does not earn a living wage (which is marked at \$20,000 annually before taxes). Thus, any individual who wants full-time work but can only find part-time work, as well as those working full-time but earning too little to climb above the poverty line, are considered *functionally unemployed*. Based on the inclusion of these additional factors related to unemployment, the TRU in April 2021 was 23.1% nationally. Further analysis shows significant disparities related to race and sex. Specifically, in April 2021 the TRU was 55.9% for Black Americans, 52.6% for Hispanic Americans, and 52.4% for white Americans. This disparity becomes even greater when evaluated by sex. The TRU for female Americans was 59.5% in April 2021, compared to 45.2% for male Americans.

Individuals in Washington who are experiencing functional unemployment are at higher risk of facing a disaster cascade, even as we move into the early summer months. The seasonally adjusted unemployment rate in Washington was 5.5% in April 2021, 9.9 percentage points lower than April 2020.⁵³

Depression and Suicide

Depression is a common response throughout the disaster recovery cycle. Many children, teens, and young adults are experiencing significant symptoms of depression during the pandemic.^{10,54} Older adults are also a group of concern due to isolation and lack of social connection.⁵⁵

Active suicide prevention should be promoted through sharing information on recognizing [warning signs](#)^d and other related resources, and checking in with colleagues, friends, family members, and neighbors. When someone is expressing thoughts of self-harm, [access to dangerous means of harm should be removed](#),^e and medications, poisons, and firearms should be stored safely. Suicides consistently account for approximately 75% of all firearm-related

^d <https://www.doh.wa.gov/YouandYourFamily/InjuryandViolencePrevention/SuicidePrevention/HelpSomeoneElse#common>

^e <https://www.seattlechildrens.org/health-safety/keeping-kids-healthy/prevention/home-checklist/>

fatalities in Washington.⁵⁶ [Storing firearms safely](#)^f and [temporarily removing them from the home](#)^g of an at-risk person during a crisis can save lives.

Additional Resources:

- Anyone concerned about depression or other behavioral health symptoms should talk with their **healthcare provider**.
- [Washington Listens](#)^h: Call 833-681-0211 to talk to a support specialist who will listen and help you cope with the stress of COVID-19.
- **Health Care Authority:** [Mental health crisis lines](#)ⁱ
- [National Suicide Prevention Lifeline](#):^j Call 800-273-8255 (English) or 1-888-628-9454 (Español).
- [Crisis Connections](#):^k Call 866-427-4747.
- [Crisis Text Line](#):^l Text HEAL to 741741.
- **Department of Health:** [Crisis lines for specific groups](#)^m
- [TeenLink](#):ⁿ Call or text 866-833-6546.
- [Washington Warm Line](#):^o Call 877-500-9276.
- **Washington State COVID-19 Response:** [Mental and emotional well-being webpage](#)^p

Children and Families

It is normal for children to be having trouble during this time. However, if there are concerns about safety, seek professional support and assistance. For more detailed information on this topic, see the [Behavioral Health Toolbox for Families: Supporting Children and Teens During the COVID-19 Pandemic](#).^q This resource provides general information about common emotional reactions of children, teens, and families during disasters. It also has suggestions on how to help children, teens, and families recover from disasters and grow stronger. Parents and caregivers can also use the [National Parent Helpline](#)^r to access telephone support (1-855-427-2736) and additional resources. Additional information regarding navigating the upcoming school year, back-to-classroom learning, concerns for school refusal and school-based anxiety, and other behavioral challenges parents and caregivers may encounter will be included in future forecasts beginning in August 2021.

^f <https://www.kingcounty.gov/depts/health/violence-injury-prevention/violence-prevention/gun-violence/LOCK-IT-UP.aspx>

^g <https://hiprc.org/firearm/firearm-storage-wa/>

^h <https://www.walistsens.org/>

ⁱ <https://www.hca.wa.gov/health-care-services-supports/behavioral-health-recovery/mental-health-crisis-lines>

^j <https://suicidepreventionlifeline.org/>

^k <https://www.crisisconnections.org/24-hour-crisis-line/>

^l <https://www.crisistextline.org/>

^m <https://www.doh.wa.gov/YouandYourFamily/InjuryandViolencePrevention/SuicidePrevention/HotlinesTextandChatResources>

ⁿ <https://www.crisisconnections.org/teen-link/>

^o <https://www.crisisconnections.org/wa-warm-line/>

^p coronavirus.wa.gov/wellbeing

^q <https://www.doh.wa.gov/Portals/1/Documents/1600/coronavirus/BHG-COVID19-FamilyToolbox.pdf>

^r <https://www.nationalparenthelpline.org/>

Suicidal Ideation and Suicide Attempts in Youth

We are continuing to monitor rates of emergency department visits for psychological distress, suicidal ideation, and suspected suicide attempts for children, teens, and young adults.^{s,t} The convergence of factors that may be uniquely affecting the psychological health of these groups in the later months of 2020 into the early months of 2021 is **very concerning**. Nationally, according to a recent Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) report, emergency department visits for suspected suicide attempts during February 21 – March 20, 2021 **increased by 50.6% for girls ages 12 – 17 and 3.7% for boys ages 12 – 17, compared to the same period in 2019.**⁵⁷

A recent [emergency proclamation](#)^u by Governor Inslee states that “hospitals and health professionals who specialize in the treatment of children indicate that many of Washington’s children and youth are experiencing a significant mental and behavioral health crisis as a result of the ongoing pandemic,” and “the children and adolescents presenting in mental health crises to hospitals or emergency rooms are the most severe cases and represent just a small portion of the entire population of youth in Washington who are suffering from increased mental and behavioral health needs, educational setbacks, and developmental concerns.”

We strongly recommend continual monitoring and supporting of adolescents and youth. For parents and caregivers, this can include checking in and asking youth and teens about thoughts of self-harm or suicide. Asking about suicide does **not** increase risk and, in fact, increases safety and often helps lead to timely intervention. For medical and behavioral health providers, this includes screening for suicidal ideation and behaviors, and regularly checking in about access to means, such as substances or firearms, for inflicting self-harm of any kind.

Potential for Violence and Aggression

While potential for violence and aggression remain a possibility, the likelihood of a large-scale event rooted in violence or extremist behavior is likely to decrease as the pandemic is controlled and a return to “normal” is on the horizon. Factors related to an increase in risk, in the areas of human behavior, include hope and false hope,⁵⁸ subjective well-being,⁵⁹ family stress,^{60,61} and social learning,⁶¹ among others.

In March 2021, protection order filings from the Washington Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) showed a year-over-year percent change in anti-harassment/stalking protection (20%) and sexual assault (40%). It should be noted that the “Stay Home, Stay Healthy” order in March 2020 may have affected the number of filings in the spring and early summer of 2020. For more information, see the [COVID-19 Behavioral Health Impact Situation Report – Week of June 14, 2021.](#)^v

^s Data regarding suspected suicide attempt among people of all ages should be interpreted with caution. The current CDC definition for suspected suicide attempt, due to its broad inclusion of intentional self-harm behaviors that may or may not be interpreted as a suicidal act, could artificially inflate both the count and rate of such visits.

^t <https://knowledgerepository.syndromicsurveillance.org/disaster-related-mental-health-v1-syndrome-definition-subcommittee>

^u https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/21-05_Children%27s_Mental_Health_Crisis_%28tmp%29.pdf

^v <https://www.doh.wa.gov/Portals/1/Documents/1600/coronavirus/821-102-BehavioralHealthImpactReport-06142021.pdf>

Behavioral Health Outcomes Associated With COVID-19

As the number of people infected with the virus continues to increase nationally, so does the number of survivors. Concerning research, provider bulletins, anecdotal accounts, and case studies have documented specific behavioral health symptoms and diagnoses which seem to occur in those who have survived COVID-19.^{55,62,63,64,65,66} Treatment providers and behavioral health systems should be aware of these findings, which include new instances of anxiety disorders and PTSD, as well as a new diagnosis identified as **post-COVID-19 psychosis**.⁶⁷

In addition to increased risks for a *new* psychological disorder after a positive COVID-19 diagnosis, research shows that individuals who had a pre-COVID-19 psychiatric diagnosis were associated with a 65% increased risk of confirmed COVID-19 infection, compared to individuals who only had a pre-COVID-19 diagnosis of a physical health issue and no psychiatric history.⁶⁴ These researchers also found an increased risk for first-time experiences of psychotic symptoms in individuals that tested positive for COVID-19.^{64,65} The individuals who had a new onset of psychosis related to COVID-19 infections tended to have features of disorganized thinking and confusion and were less likely to experience paranoia and delusions as part of their psychosis.^{64,65} The individuals who developed this post-COVID-19 psychosis are also less likely to have a family history of psychosis and more likely to present with mild to moderate (i.e., less severe) symptoms of psychosis.⁶⁴ Individuals experiencing this type of post-COVID-19 psychosis are typically recovering quickly with the use of low-dose antipsychotic medications.^{64,65}

Additional research has identified a post-COVID-19 group that are referred to as “long-haulers” or as experiencing “long COVID,” in which individuals experience symptoms related to COVID-19 for more than six weeks. Many of these individuals only experienced mild respiratory symptoms and never developed pneumonia or hypoxemia (having a below-normal level of oxygen in the blood) requiring hospitalization. It is estimated that 87% of hospitalized COVID-19 patients continue to have symptoms 60 days after COVID-19 onset, and app-based symptom trackers estimate that 4.5% of patients have mild COVID-19 symptoms lasting more than 8 weeks. Accordingly, several million people in the world may already suffer from “long COVID.”

The ten most common neurologic symptoms experienced by “long haulers” are *brain fog* (81%), *headache* (68%), *numbness/tingling* (60%), *dysgeusia* (loss of taste) (59%), *anosmia* (loss of smell) (55%), *myalgia* (muscle pain) (55%), *dizziness* (47%), *pain* (43%), *blurred vision* (30%), and *tinnitus* (ringing in the ears) (29%). The most frequent non-neurologic symptoms include *fatigue* (85%), *depression/anxiety* (47%), *shortness of breath* (46%), *chest pain* (37%), *insomnia* (33%), *variation of heart rate and blood pressure* (30%), and *gastrointestinal symptoms* (29%). The constellation of “long hauler” symptoms, particularly fatigue and a sense of cognitive dysfunction (e.g., memory impairment and problems with attention and concentration), in patients resembles the prominent fatigue and cognitive complaints seen in those after mild traumatic brain injury (TBI).⁶⁶

For adults over 65 years, there seems to be a slight increase in diagnoses of dementia in the first 14 – 90 days after a COVID-19 diagnosis.⁶² Research indicates that individuals who have been hospitalized for COVID-19 or developed encephalopathy (any brain disease that impacts brain function) due to their illness are more likely to experience neurological complications, a psychotic disorder, mood disorder, anxiety disorder, substance use disorder, and insomnia.⁶⁸ Although the estimated incidence is modest in the whole COVID-19 cohort (0.67%), 1.46% of hospitalized cases and 4.72% of those who had neurological symptoms related to their COVID-19 infection received a first diagnosis of dementia within six months.

Individuals with even mild cases of COVID-19 are at higher risk for depression and anxiety.

This research is congruent with earlier research on COVID-19 which demonstrated evidence that survivors are at increased risk for mood and anxiety disorders and dementia in the three months following infection.⁶²

Key Things to Know

- The risk of suicide will likely continue to be high throughout the first half of 2021. Data suggest that young adults (ages 18 – 29) and older adults (60+) are particularly vulnerable.⁶⁹ We encourage healthcare providers to routinely screen and ask their patients about suicidal thoughts or plans. The National Institute of Mental Health’s [Ask Suicide-Screening Questions \(ASQ\) Screening Tool](#)^w can be used for patients ages 10 – 24.
- Risk taking behaviors during the summer months are particularly likely to increase for adolescents, teens, and young adults. This may include driving recklessly, substance use, or other potentially uncharacteristic behaviors that may be a reflection of the need to seek pleasure-oriented activities (and the associated activation of the brain’s “reward center”) as a subconscious reaction to their behavioral health experiences during the pandemic.
- Ambiguity in workplace and social contexts will remain high throughout the summer months. Associated cognitive distortions, interpersonal challenges, and generalized discomfort may remain high in areas of life where significant changes are occurring.
- It is anticipated that rates of depression and anxiety for certain at-risk groups (e.g., those identifying as multiracial or LGBTQ+) in the general population during this pandemic are likely to be much higher than is typical after a natural disaster where there is a single impact. Clinically significant symptoms of anxiety or depression are likely to occur in 30% – 60% of the general population (equivalent to 2.25 million – 4.5 million people in Washington, including children and youth) due to the chronic and ongoing social and economic disruption in people’s lives as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.⁶⁸
 - Weekly survey data suggest that approximately 1.29 million Washington adults are experiencing symptoms of anxiety on at least most days and just over 890,000 are experiencing symptoms of depression on at least most days (Figure 3).⁷ Those who identified as female have an increased symptom reporting rate for anxiety (29% for females, compared to 23% for males) and depression (20% for females, compared to 18% for males).

^w https://www.nimh.nih.gov/research/research-conducted-at-nimh/asq-toolkit-materials/asq-tool/screening_tool_asq_nimh_toolkit_155867.pdf




Figure 3: Estimated Washington adults experiencing symptoms of anxiety and depression at least most days, by week: April 23, 2020 – June 7, 2021 (Source: U.S. Census Bureau).

Note: Census data is unavailable for the periods of July 22 – August 18, 2020, December 21, 2020 – January 6, 2021, and March 30 – April 14, 2021.

Survey data collected by the U.S. Census Bureau for August 19, 2020 – June 7, 2021 show the number of adults in Washington who received medical care and counseling, as well as the number who delayed or did not receive care (Figure 4).⁷ Among those who responded to the survey, those ages 30 – 39 were the most likely to report that they needed counseling or therapy but did not receive it (19%), and those ages 18 – 29 were the second most likely (12%). Survey respondents were not asked why they were unable to receive behavioral healthcare.




Figure 4: Estimated Washington adults who received or delayed medical care or counseling, by week: August 19, 2020 – June 7, 2021 (Source: U.S. Census Bureau).

Note: The U.S. Census Bureau began this data collection in August 2020 and paused briefly for the period of December 23, 2020 – January 3, 2021 and March 30 – April 14, 2021.

Acknowledgements

This document was developed by the Washington State Department of Health’s Behavioral Health Strike Team for the COVID-19 response. The strike team is a group of clinical psychologists, psychiatrists, and therapists who are professionals in disaster relief and behavioral health. Lead authors from the Behavioral Health Strike Team are Kira Mauseth, Ph.D. and Stacy Cecchet, Ph.D., ABPP. Research support for this report was provided by undergraduate psychology students at Seattle University.

References

1. Katz-Wise, S. L., Rosario, M., & Tsappis, M. (2016). Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth and family acceptance. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*, 63(6), 1011-1025.
2. Ryan, C., Huebner, D., Diaz, R. M., & Sanchez, J. (2009). Family rejection as a predictor of negative health outcomes in white and Latino lesbian, gay, and bisexual young adults. *Pediatrics*, 123(1), 346-352.
3. Chowkwanyun, M., Reed, A. L. (2020). Racial Health Disparities and Covid-19 — Caution and Context. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 383, 201-203. 10.1056/NEJMp2012910
4. Lopez, M. H., Rainie, L., & Budiman, A. (2020). *Financial and health impacts of COVID-19 vary widely by race and ethnicity*. Pew Research Fact Tank. <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/05/05/financial-and-health-impacts-of-covid-19-vary-widely-by-race-and-ethnicity/>
5. Parker, K., Horowitz, J., Brown, A. (April 21, 2020). *About Half of Lower-Income Americans Report Household Job or Wage Loss Due to COVID-19*. Pew Research Center Social and Demographic Trends. <https://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2020/04/21/about-half-of-lower-income-americans-report-household-job-or-wage-loss-due-to-covid-19/>
6. Washington State Department of Health. *Behavioral Health Impact Situation Report: Week of March 15, 2021*. 821-102-32.
7. U.S. Census Bureau. *Household Pulse Survey Data Tables*. <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/household-pulse-survey/data.html>
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). *Health Equity Considerations and Racial and Ethnic Minority Groups*. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/health-equity/race-ethnicity.html>
9. Tai, D., Shah, A., Doubeni, C. A., Sia, I. G., & Wieland, M. L. (2021). The Disproportionate Impact of COVID-19 on Racial and Ethnic Minorities in the United States. *Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*, 72(4), 703–706. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa815>
10. Beam, C., Kim, A. (2020). Psychological Sequelae of Social Isolation and Loneliness Might Be a Larger Problem in Young Adults Than Older Adults. University of Southern California Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy. American Psychological Association 2020, Vol. 12, No. S1, S58–S60. ISSN: 1942-9681. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/tra0000774>
11. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2015). *Supplemental research bulletin - Issue 5: Traumatic stress and suicide after disasters*. SAMHSA. https://www.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/dtac/srb_sept2015.pdf
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018). The continuum of pandemic phases. CDC. <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/pandemic-resources/planning-preparedness/global-planning-508.html>
13. Anesi, G. L. & Manaker, S. (2020). *Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Critical care issues*. <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19-critical-care-issues>
14. Bhatraju, P. K., Ghassemieh, B. J., Nichols, M., Kim, R., Jerome, K. R., Nalla, A. K., Greninger, A. L., Pipavath, S., Wurfel, M. M., Evans, L., Kritek, P. A., West, R. E., et al. (2020). Covid-19 in Critically Ill Patients in the Seattle Region. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 10.1056/NEJMoa2004500
15. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2020). *Phases of Disaster*. SAMHSA. <https://www.samhsa.gov/dtac/recovering-disasters/phases-disaster>
16. Boniol, M., Mclsaac, M., Xu, L., Wuliji, T., Diallo, K., & Campbell, J. (2019, March). *Gender equity in the health workforce: Analysis of 104 countries*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/311314/WHO-HIS-HWF-Gender-WP1-2019.1-eng.pdf?sequen>
17. Price-Feeney, M., Green, A. E., & Dorison, S. (in press). Understanding the mental health of transgender and nonbinary youth. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2019.11.314>
18. Friedman, M. S., Marshal, M. P., Guadamuz, T. E., Wei, C., Wong, C. F., Saewyc, E. M., & Stall, R. (2011). A meta-analysis of disparities in childhood sexual abuse, parental physical abuse, and peer victimization among sexual minority and sexual nonminority individuals. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101(8), 1481-1494.

19. Becasen, J. S., Denard, C. L., Mullins, M. M., Higa, D. H., & Sipe, T. A. (2019). Estimating the Prevalence of HIV and Sexual Behaviors Among the US Transgender Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, 2006-2017. *American journal of public health, 109*(1), e1–e8. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304727>
20. Reyna, V. (Ed) & Zayas, V. (Ed). (2014). *The Neuroscience of Risky Decision Making*. Bronfenbrenner series on the ecology of human decision making. American Psychological Association; xviii (pp. 222)
21. Silva, K., Patrianakos, J., Chein, J., Steinberg, L. (2017). Joint Effects of Peer Presence and Fatigue on Risk and Reward Processing in Late Adolescence. *Journal of Youth Adolescence, 46*, 1878–1890.
22. Syndicus, M., Weise, B. S., & vanTreeck, C. (2018). In the heat and noise of the moment: effects on risky decision making. *Environment and Behavior, 50*(1), pp 3-27.
23. Cohn, E. G., & Rotton, J. (2005). The curve is still out there: A reply to Bushman, Wang, and Anderson's (2005) "Is the curve relating temperature to aggression linear or curvilinear?" *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 89*(1), 67–70. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.89.1.67>
24. Rodriguez-Seijas, C., Stohl, M., Hasin, D. S., Eaton, N. R. (2015). Transdiagnostic Factors and Mediation of the Relationship Between Perceived Racial Discrimination and Mental Disorders. *JAMA Psychiatry, 72*(7), 706–713. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.0148
25. Wen, J., Aston, J., Liu, X. & Ying, T. (2020) Effects of misleading media coverage on public health crisis: a case of the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in China. *Anatolia, 31*(2), 331-336. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13032917.2020.1730621>
26. Gover, Angela R., Harper, Shannon B., Langton, Lynn. (July 2020). *Anti-Asian Hate Crime During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Exploring the Reproduction of Inequality*. National Center for Biotechnology Information, U.S. National Library of Medicine. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7364747/>
27. Choi, Cynthia, Kulkarni, Manjusha P. (March 2020). *Asian American Pacific Islander (AAPI) Civil Rights Organizations Establishes STOP AAPI HATE Reporting Center*. Asian Pacific Planning and Policy Council (A3PCON). <http://www.asianpacificpolicyandplanningcouncil.org/asian-american-pacific-islander-aapi-civil-rights-organizations-establishes-stop-aapi-hate-reporting-center/>
28. Human Rights Campaign Foundation. (2020). *The economic impact of COVID-19 on the LGBTQ community*. https://assets2.hrc.org/files/assets/resources/COVID19-EconomicImpact-IssueBrief-042220.pdf?_ga=2.99080222.1078006411.1590034610-1493237670.1590034610
29. Price-Feeney, M., Green, A. E., & Dorison, S. (in press). Understanding the mental health of transgender and nonbinary youth. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2019.11.314>
30. Friedman, M. S., Marshal, M. P., Guadamuz, T. E., Wei, C., Wong, C. F., Saewyc, E. M., & Stall, R. (2011). A meta-analysis of disparities in childhood sexual abuse, parental physical abuse, and peer victimization among sexual minority and sexual nonminority individuals. *American Journal of Public Health, 101*(8), 1481-1494.
31. Becasen, J. S., Denard, C. L., Mullins, M. M., Higa, D. H., & Sipe, T. A. (2019). Estimating the Prevalence of HIV and Sexual Behaviors Among the US Transgender Population: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, 2006-2017. *American journal of public health, 109*(1), e1–e8. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304727>
32. The Trevor Project. (2020). *Implications of COVID-19 for LGBTQ youth mental health and suicide prevention*. <https://www.thetrevorproject.org/2020/04/03/implications-of-covid-19-for-lgbtq-youth-mental-health-and-suicide-prevention/>
33. Baams, L. (2018). Disparities for LGBTQ and gender nonconforming adolescents. *Pediatrics, 141*(5), e20173004. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-3004>
34. Kaniuka, A., Pugh, K. C., Jordan, M., Brooks, B., Dodd, J., Mann, A. K., & Hirsch, J. K. (2019). Stigma and suicide risk among the LGBTQ population: Are anxiety and depression to blame and can connectedness to the LGBTQ community help? *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Mental Health, 23*(2), 205-220.
35. Calton, J. M., Cattaneo, L. B., & Gebhard, K. T. (2016). Barriers to help seeking for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer survivors of intimate partner violence. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse, 17*(5), 585-600.
36. SAMHSA. (2017, July). *Greater Impact: How Disasters Affect People of Low Socioeconomic Status*. Disaster Technical Assistance Center Supplemental Research Bulletin. https://www.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/dtac/srb-low-ses_2.pdf

37. Parker, K., Horowitz, J., Brown, A. (2020, April 21). *About Half of Lower-Income Americans Report Household Job or Wage Loss Due to COVID-19*. Pew Research Center Social and Demographic Trends. <https://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2020/04/21/about-half-of-lower-income-americans-report-household-job-or-wage-loss-due-to-covid-19/>
38. Alon, T. M., Doepke, M., Olmstead-Rumsey, J., & Tertilt, M. (2020, April). *The impact of COVID-19 on gender equality*. National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w26947>
39. Wenham, C., Smith, J., & Morgan, R. (2020). COVID-19: the gendered impacts of the outbreak. *The Lancet*, 395(10227), 846-848.
40. Russell, S. T., & Fish, J. N. (2016). Mental health in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) youth. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 12, 465-487.
41. Kaiser Family Foundation. (2020, April). *Coronavirus, Social Distancing, and Contact Tracing*. KFF Health Tracking Poll. <https://www.kff.org/report-section/kff-health-tracking-poll-late-april-2020-economic-and-mental-health-impacts-of-coronavirus/>
42. Chidambaram, P. (2020, August 21). *The Implications of COVID-19 for Mental Health and Substance Use*. Kaiser Family Foundation. <https://www.kff.org/health-reform/issue-brief/the-implications-of-covid-19-for-mental-health-and-substance-use/>
43. North, C. S., Oliver, J., & Pandya, A. (2012). Examining a comprehensive model of disaster-related posttraumatic stress disorder in systematically studied survivors of 10 disasters. *American Journal of Public Health*, 102(10), e40–e48. 10.2105/AJPH.2012.300689
44. Kessler, R. C., Galea, S., Gruber, M. J., Sampson, N. A., Ursano, R. J., & Wessely, S. (2008). Trends in mental illness and suicidality after Hurricane Katrina. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 13, 374–384.
45. Brown, E., & Wehby, G. L. (2019). Economic conditions and drug and opioid overdose deaths. *Medical Care Research and Review*, 76(4), 462–477.
46. Phillips, J. A. (2014). Suicide and the Great Recession of 2007–2009: The Role of Economic Factors in the 50 U.S. States. *Social Science & Medicine*, 116, 22-31.
47. Meadows Mental Health Policy Institute (2020). *COVID-19 Response Briefing: Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder Impacts of a COVID-19 Economic Recession*. <https://www.texasstateofmind.org/uploads/whitepapers/COVID-MHSUDImpacts.pdf>
48. Washington State Department of Health, Center for Health Statistics, Death Certificate Data, 1990–2018, Community Health Assessment Tool (CHAT), October 2019.
49. Bianchi, F., Bianchi, G., & Song, D. (2020). *The long-term impact of the covid-19 unemployment shock on life expectancy and mortality rates*. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series, Working Paper 28304. https://www.nber.org/papers/w28304?Utm_campaign=ntwh&utm_medium=email&utm_source=ntwg6
50. Ludwig Institute for Shared Economic Prosperity (2020). *Measuring Better: Development of 'True Rate of Unemployment' Data as the Basis for Social and Economic Policy*. White Paper. https://assets.website-files.com/5f67c16a6ca3251ecc11eca7/5fd77b946b8ccc555b8cc6e5_November%20White%20Paper%201220.pdf
51. Ludwig, E. (2021). *Unemployment Is Much Worse Than You Think — Here's Why*. Politico. <https://www.politico.com/news/agenda/2021/01/25/unemployment-worse-than-you-think-462218>
52. Ludwig Institute for Shared Economic Prosperity (2021). *The True Rate of Unemployment*. <https://www.lisep.org>
53. Washington State Employment Security Department. *Facts and Figures Report – May 2021*. <https://esd.wa.gov/labormarketinfo/facts-and-figures-report>
54. Czeisler, M. É., Lane, R. I., Petrosky, E., et al. (2020). Mental Health, Substance Use, and Suicidal Ideation During the COVID-19 Pandemic — United States, June 24–30, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, 69, 1049–1057. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6932a1>
55. Moreno, C., Wykes, T., et al. (2020). *How mental health care should change as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic*. <https://www.thelancet.com/action/showPdf?pii=S2215-0366%2820%2930307-2>

56. Washington State Department of Health. (2019). *Annual Report: Firearm Fatality and Suicide Prevention – A Public Health Approach*. <https://www.doh.wa.gov/Portals/1/Documents/8390/346-087-SuicideFirearmPrevention.pdf>
57. Yard, E., Radhakrishnan, L., Ballesteros, M. F., et al. (2021). Emergency Department Visits for Suspected Suicide Attempts Among Persons Aged 12–25 Years Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic — United States, January 2019–May 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. [http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7024e1external icon](http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7024e1external%20icon)
58. Kwon, Paul. (2002). Hope, Defense Mechanisms, and Adjustment: Implications for False Hope and Defensive Hopelessness. *Journal of Personality*, 70(2), 207-231.
59. Satici, S. A. (2016). Psychological vulnerability, resilience, and subjective well-being: The mediating role of hope. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 102, 68-73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.06.057>
60. Gómez-Acosta, A., & Castro Muñoz, J. A. (2019). Family conflict, stress, and coping as predictors of violence. *Salud Mental*, 42(6), 269–274. <https://doi.org/10.17711/sm.0185-3325.2019.035>
61. Okey JL. Human Aggression: The Etiology of Individual Differences. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*. 1992;32(1):51-64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022167892321005>
62. Taquet, M., Luciano, S., Geddes, J. R., & Harrison, P. J. (2021). Bidirectional associations between COVID-19 and psychiatric disorder: retrospective cohort studies of 62,354 COVID-19 cases in the USA. *The Lancet. Psychiatry*, 8(2), 130–140. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30462-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30462-4)
63. Rezaeian, M. (2013). The association between natural disasters and violence: A systematic review of the literature and a call for more epidemiological studies. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 18(12), 1103–1107.
64. Nakamura, Z. M., Nash, R. P., Laughon, S. L., et al. (2021). Neuropsychiatric Complications of COVID-19. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 23, 25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-021-01237-9>
65. Thomas S. P. (2021). Psychosis Related to COVID-19: Reports of a Disturbing New Complication. *Issues in mental health nursing*, 42(2), 111. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01612840.2021.1873054>
66. Graham, E. L., Clark, J. R., Orban, Z. S., Lim, P. H., Szymanski, A. L., Taylor, C., DiBiase, R. M., Jia, D. T., Balabanov, R., Ho, S. U., Batra, A., Liotta, E. M., & Koralnik, I. J. (2021). Persistent neurologic symptoms and cognitive dysfunction in non-hospitalized Covid-19 “long haulers”. *Annals of clinical and translational neurology*, 8(5), 1073-1085. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.51350>
67. Chacko, M., Job, A., Caston, F., 3rd, George, P., Yacoub, A., & Cáceda, R. (2020). COVID-19-Induced Psychosis and Suicidal Behavior: Case Report. *SN comprehensive clinical medicine*, 1–5. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42399-020-00530-7>
68. Taquet, M., Geddes, J. R., Husain, M., Luciano, S., & Harrison, P. J. (2021). 6-month neurological and psychiatric outcomes in 236 379 survivors of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study using electronic health records. *The Lancet. Psychiatry*, 8(5), 416–427. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(21\)00084-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00084-5)
69. Washington, N., Gangavarapu, K., et al. (2021). *Genomic epidemiology identifies emergence and rapid transmission of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 in the United States*. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.02.06.21251159v1.full>